

United States Patent and Trademark Office



PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/692,135	10/19/2000	Roy C. Challberg	24-AT-5990	6878	
75	90 02/03/2003				
John S Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square			EXAMINER		
			RICHARDSON, JOHN A		
Suite 2600 St Louis, MO 63102-2740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, -			3641	· .	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m u	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/692,135	CHALLBERG, ROY C.				
ii riamon y monon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Richardson	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply on the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or		, , , , ,				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): <u>112/1st, 112/2nd.</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1 to 4, 6 to 12, 14 to 16.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5 and 13.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disappr	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		- 1				
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet						
	CHARLES T SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CE	ENT EXAMINER				

